UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,668	11/25/2003	Otto E. Anderhub	06530.0311	6222
	7590 11/29/201 ENDERSON, FARAB	EXAMINER		
LLP	ŕ	BACHMAN, LINDSEY MICHELE		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/720,668	ANDERHUB ET AL.		
	Art omt		
LINDSEY BACHMAN	3734		
	-		

Part of Paper No. 20101121

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revi	ew filed 29 Sep	tember 2010.				
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and	d a conference	will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not inc☐ A proposed amendment☐ Other:	lude reasons why a rev	iew is appropria	ate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-2, 6-27,</u> Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>50 52-65,</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from col	<u>31-49, 87-88,95-96, 10</u> <u>.89-94, 97-103, 106</u> .		vs:				
3. ☐ Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosec applicant at this time.							
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A capacition will be mailed. No further a							
All participants:							
(1) <u>LINDSEY BACHMAN</u> .		(3) <u>Robert Cher</u>	<u>1</u> .				
(2) <u>Todd Manahan</u> .		(4)					
/L. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734	/TODD E. MANAHAN Supervisory Patent Ex Unit 3776		/Tse Chen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3777				